



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP
1100-100 QUEEN ST
OTTAWA ON K1P 1J9 CA CANADA

COPY MAILED

MAR 22 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Schulhoff, et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/012,003 :
Filed: October 29, 2001 :
For: CLEANING/DISINFECTANT :
COMPOSITION TO CLEAN SURFACES :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b),
filed March 7, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned April 29, 2004 for failure to
timely reply to the non-final Office action mailed January 28,
2004. The Notice set a three (3) month shortened statutory
period of time for reply. No extensions of time in accordance
with 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 were timely requested. Notice of
Abandonment was mailed August 5, 2004.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be
accompanied by: (1) the required reply to the outstanding Office
action or notice, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee
as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the
entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to
37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal
disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required
pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(c).

The instant petition has been reviewed and found in compliance
with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). Accordingly, the
failure to timely submit a proper reply to the non-final Office
action is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The requested power of attorney/change of correspondence address
has been entered into the record.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of
unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or

direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This application will be forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3205.



Alesia M. Brown
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions